Please provide the following information, and submit to the NOAA DM Plan Repository.

Reference to Master DM Plan (if applicable)

As stated in Section IV, Requirement 1.3, DM Plans may be hierarchical. If this DM Plan inherits provisions from a higher-level DM Plan already submitted to the Repository, then this more-specific Plan only needs to provide information that differs from what was provided in the Master DM Plan.

URL of higher-level DM Plan (if any) as submitted to DM Plan Repository:

1. General Description of Data to be Managed

1.1. Name of the Data, data collection Project, or data-producing Program:
USVI Land-Based Threat to Benthic Habitats

1.2. Summary description of the data:
This data set describes the potential threat of sediment delivery and land-based sources of pollution to benthic habitats. This dataset is derived from NOAA's study, the Benthic Habitats of Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands. The Benthic Habitats data is the result of a cooperative effort between NOAA’s National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science (NCCOS), U.S. Geological Survey, National Park Service, and the National Geophysical Data Center, to produce benthic habitat maps and georeferenced imagery for Puerto Rico. This project was conducted in support of the U.S. Coral Reef Task Force.

1.3. Is this a one-time data collection, or an ongoing series of measurements?
One-time data collection

1.4. Actual or planned temporal coverage of the data:
2000

1.5. Actual or planned geographic coverage of the data:
W: -65.088802, E: -64.43628, N: 18.417743, S: 17.633663

1.6. Type(s) of data:
(e.g., digital numeric data, imagery, photographs, video, audio, database, tabular data, etc.)
vector digital data

1.7. Data collection method(s):
(e.g., satellite, airplane, unmanned aerial system, radar, weather station, moored buoy, research vessel, autonomous underwater vehicle, animal tagging, manual surveys, enforcement activities, numerical model, etc.)

1.8. If data are from a NOAA Observing System of Record, indicate name of system:

1.8.1. If data are from another observing system, please specify:
2. Point of Contact for this Data Management Plan (author or maintainer)

2.1. Name:
   NCCOS Scientific Data Coordinator

2.2. Title:
   Metadata Contact

2.3. Affiliation or facility:

2.4. E-mail address:
   NCCOS.data@noaa.gov

2.5. Phone number:

3. Responsible Party for Data Management

Program Managers, or their designee, shall be responsible for assuring the proper management of the data produced by their Program. Please indicate the responsible party below.

3.1. Name:
   NCCOS Scientific Data Coordinator

3.2. Title:
   Data Steward

4. Resources

Programs must identify resources within their own budget for managing the data they produce.

4.1. Have resources for management of these data been identified?

4.2. Approximate percentage of the budget for these data devoted to data management (specify percentage or "unknown"): 

5. Data Lineage and Quality

NOAA has issued Information Quality Guidelines for ensuring and maximizing the quality, objectivity, utility, and integrity of information which it disseminates.

5.1. Processing workflow of the data from collection or acquisition to making it publicly accessible

(describe or provide URL of description):

Process Steps:
- 2006-01-01 00:00:00 - Ground control points, camera calibration data, and USGS DTM data were used to orthorectify 500 dpi scans of the aerial photos using Socet
Set Version 4.2.1. Orthorectified images were then loaded into ArcView 3.2 with the Image Analyst and Habitat Digitizer Extensions activated. This allowed the photointerpreter to interpret features, delineate and attribute benthic habitat directly into a digital reference system. The data were saved as ArcView shapefiles. To estimate the potential threat to each polygon, the following methodology was employed:

Step 1) The first step of the analysis involves estimating likely relative erosion rates for each 30 m resolution grid cell using a modified, simplified form of the Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation (RUSLE) (USDA, 1989). Information on slope, land cover type, precipitation, and soil porosity were integrated to develop an indicator of relative erosion potential (REP) for all land areas within Puerto Rico.

Inputs: (REP relies upon four input data sets, three of which were described under the analysis of vulnerability to erosion, above.)

4. Slope (percentage) - for each 30m grid cell, derived from the (raw) DEM (without hydrologic correction.)
5. Relative erosion rate by land cover type. Relative erosion rates for each land cover type were calculated using a look-up table. Land cover categories from NASA Geocover-LC products covering Puerto Rico (http://www.MDafederal.com/geocover/geocoverlc/) were reclassified to relative erosion rates, ranging from 15 (for forest) to 220 for barren land. (below)
6. Precipitation during the peak rainfall month (in millimeters) - Long-term average monthly precipitation values for the peak rainfall month of the year is an interpolated grid based on data for climate stations from NOAA's National Climate Data Center (NCDC). This variable was chosen because it is more indicative of the rainy season and more extreme events during the year.
7. Soil erodibility factor (K-factor) - the K-factor was obtained from the SSURGO database of the USDA.

Land Cover Type, Grid Code, Relative Erosion Rate
Deciduous Forest, 1, 15
Evergreen Forest, 2, 15
Shrub/Scrub, 3, 50
Grassland, 4, 125
Barren, 5, 220
Urban, 6, 210
Agriculture, 7, 200
Permanent Wetland, 9, 80
Mangrove, 10, 80
Water, 11, 5
Cloud/No Data, 13, 0

Equation 2: Relative Erosion Potential (REP) = Slope(%) x precip (mm for peak rainfall month) x soil k-factor x relative erosion rate for land cover type / 1000

The grid is divided by 1000 and converted to integer for a better data range and easier display. The analysis was implemented in ESRI ArcView 3.2 software, using the map calculator function of Spatial Analyst, which is also available in ArcMap. The raster analysis was done at 30 m resolution in UTM projection (Zone 20N, WGS84.)

Two indicators indicative of erosion within the watershed were calculated for each basin: mean REP for the basin (an indicator of average erosion rates for the basin) (REP_MEAN), and total relative erosion within the basin (REP_SUM).

Step 2) An indicator of relative sediment delivery at the river mouth was estimated by multiplying total relative erosion in the basin (REP_SUM) by the sediment delivery ratio (SDR) for the basin, which is a function of watershed size. SDR reflects the percentage of erosion within the basin (REP_SUM) which reaches the river mouth.

- 2006-01-01 00:00:00 - (continued from above)

Equation 3: Sediment Delivery Ratio (SDR) = 0.41 x basin area (in sq km)*-0.3. This factor comes from published research on watersheds in the Caribbean. Equation 4: Sediment delivery at the river mouth (...
SED_DELIV) = REP_SUM x SDR8. The point density tool (Spatial Analyst, ArcGIS) was then used to create a threat layer, using the sediment delivery at the outflow point as input, and a kernel radius of 5 km. This threat layer was then averaged for every benthic habitat polygon (NOAA Benthic Habitats of Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands, Kendall et al., 2001) using a zonal function to determine the mean threat. Source used: http://ccma.nos.noaa.gov/products/biogeography/benthic/ (end continuation)

5.1.1. If data at different stages of the workflow, or products derived from these data, are subject to a separate data management plan, provide reference to other plan:

5.2. Quality control procedures employed (describe or provide URL of description):

6. Data Documentation

The EDMC Data Documentation Procedural Directive requires that NOAA data be well documented, specifies the use of ISO 19115 and related standards for documentation of new data, and provides links to resources and tools for metadata creation and validation.

6.1. Does metadata comply with EDMC Data Documentation directive?

No

6.1.1. If metadata are non-existent or non-compliant, please explain:

Missing/invalid information:
- 1.7. Data collection method(s)
- 4.1. Have resources for management of these data been identified?
- 4.2. Approximate percentage of the budget for these data devoted to data management
- 5.2. Quality control procedures employed
- 7.1. Do these data comply with the Data Access directive?
- 7.1.1. If data are not available or has limitations, has a Waiver been filed?
- 7.1.2. If there are limitations to data access, describe how data are protected
- 7.2. Name of organization of facility providing data access
- 7.2.1. If data hosting service is needed, please indicate
- 7.3. Data access methods or services offered
- 7.4. Approximate delay between data collection and dissemination
- 8.1. Actual or planned long-term data archive location
- 8.3. Approximate delay between data collection and submission to an archive facility
- 8.4. How will the data be protected from accidental or malicious modification or deletion prior to receipt by the archive?

6.2. Name of organization or facility providing metadata hosting:

NMFS Office of Science and Technology
6.2.1. If service is needed for metadata hosting, please indicate:

6.3. URL of metadata folder or data catalog, if known:
https://inport.nmfs.noaa.gov/inport/item/39594

6.4. Process for producing and maintaining metadata
(describe or provide URL of description):
Metadata produced and maintained in accordance with the NOAA Data Documentation
Procedural Directive: https://nosc.noaa.gov/EDMC/DAARWG/docs/EDMC_PD-
Data_Documentation_v1.pdf

7. Data Access
NAO 212-15 states that access to environmental data may only be restricted when distribution is
explicitly limited by law, regulation, policy (such as those applicable to personally identifiable
information or protected critical infrastructure information or proprietary trade information) or by
security requirements. The EDMC Data Access Procedural Directive contains specific guidance,
recommends the use of open-standard, interoperable, non-proprietary web services, provides
information about resources and tools to enable data access, and includes a Waiver to be submitted
to justify any approach other than full, unrestricted public access.

7.1. Do these data comply with the Data Access directive?

7.1.1. If the data are not to be made available to the public at all, or with
limitations, has a Waiver (Appendix A of Data Access directive) been filed?

7.1.2. If there are limitations to public data access, describe how data are protected
from unauthorized access or disclosure:

7.2. Name of organization of facility providing data access:

7.2.1. If data hosting service is needed, please indicate:

7.2.2. URL of data access service, if known:
http://ccma.nos.noaa.gov/ecosystems/coralreef/summit_sea/summit2seaUSVI.zip

7.3. Data access methods or services offered:

7.4. Approximate delay between data collection and dissemination:

7.4.1. If delay is longer than latency of automated processing, indicate under what
authority data access is delayed:
8. Data Preservation and Protection

The NOAA Procedure for Scientific Records Appraisal and Archive Approval describes how to identify, appraise and decide what scientific records are to be preserved in a NOAA archive.

8.1. Actual or planned long-term data archive location:
(Specify NCEI-MD, NCEI-CO, NCEI-NC, NCEI-MS, World Data Center (WDC) facility, Other, To Be Determined, Unable to Archive, or No Archiving Intended)

8.1.1. If World Data Center or Other, specify:

8.1.2. If To Be Determined, Unable to Archive or No Archiving Intended, explain:

8.2. Data storage facility prior to being sent to an archive facility (if any):
   National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science - Silver Spring, MD

8.3. Approximate delay between data collection and submission to an archive facility:

8.4. How will the data be protected from accidental or malicious modification or deletion prior to receipt by the archive?
   Discuss data back-up, disaster recovery/contingency planning, and off-site data storage relevant to the data collection

9. Additional Line Office or Staff Office Questions

Line and Staff Offices may extend this template by inserting additional questions in this section.